The Fourteenth Amendment Hoax – Judge Anna von Reitz Exposes the Fraudulent Congress

Posted on April 25, 2015

Once in a while I take time to reply to especially wrong-headed and misinformed individuals. In this case, I was replying to a man who was convinced that the Fourteenth Amendment was the best thing since sliced bread and even Biblically sanctioned.

First, let's begin with some facts about the various "Constitutions" involved. The actual Equity Contract that created the Federal United States is called "The Constitution for the united States of America". It hasn't been amended since 1860. It is a tri-lateral international treaty. It doesn't have a Fourteenth Amendment.

Circa 1868 a Delaware Corporation doing business as the "United States of America (Inc.)"

Voila Capture 2015-04-12 07-31-31 PM

published its own "constitution"— a corporate charter deceptively named "the Constitution of the United States of America". It was not only similarly and deceptively named, it adopted the Articles of the actual Constitution as corporate "Articles" and the Amendments as corporate By-laws. This is the "constitution" that contains the 14th Amendment being discussed— an "Amendment" which was simply proclaimed along with several others and which was never ratified by the Several States of the Continental United States, because as corporate By-laws of a private non-profit corporation, no such ratification process was required.

At the time much public discussion centered around the idealistic sales pitch that the proponents presented: the new form of citizenship the 14th Amendment provided for was supposed to be egalitarian, serving to unite everyone under one status, to insure that former slaves enjoyed full protection of the laws, etc. Some famous Abolitionists supported it. In any case, the Members of the "United States Congress" acting secretively as a Board of Directors for the United States of America, Inc., approved it.

And this is where the recent discussion of the Fourteenth Amendment takes off, with my opponent waxing eloquent about its supposed benefits and Biblical authority, and me answering:

"The Fourteenth Amendment may have been embraced with all the good intentions you describe, and yes, most of the public debate at the time it was adopted bears out your interpretation of the intention that most people embraced. I agree. That was the stated goal.

However, as often happens, things got sidetracked and other agendas played out in reality.

MEMORIAL TO CONGRESS — FOURTEENTH AND FIFTEENTH AMENDMENTS TO U.S. CONSTITUTION BE DECLARED VOID

Instead of receiving State Citizenship which recognizes Natural Rights, African Americans only received the "United States Citizenship" of the Federal United States and "Civil Rights", not "Natural Rights".

When you look up "Civil Rights" you learn that they are "privileges" conferred by Congress and can be taken away by Congress just as fast. You will also figure out sooner or later that the entire "Civil Rights Movement" demanding "Equal Civil Rights" had to have something to be "equal" to.

Equal to What?

Martin Luther King, Jr. wanted the civil rights owed to black Americans to be "equal to" the Natural Rights enjoyed by their white counterparts inhabiting the Continental United States. And enough people got mad enough about it to force the Congress to guarantee it, finally.

But consider this while you are attacking me for standing on the land jurisdiction of the Continental United States and invoking my State Citizenship and its guarantees—- without me demanding that my Natural Rights be honored, there is no standard determining the meaning of "Equal" Civil Rights.

If I lose my claim to Natural Rights, the Congress is set free to reduce all rights owed to all people in both the Continental and Federal United States to the level of slaves in 17th century Haiti.

The further unforeseen (and unannounced) consequence for Federal Citizens was that first the former black slaves and later white "United States Citizens" as well were conscripted and registered as "assets" of the United States of America, Inc., and their labor and other property was "made available" for the "hypothecation of debt".

Look up the word "hypothecation".

It's surreptitious theft using a mechanism akin to co-signing a loan, but in this case, you aren't necessarily made aware that you are the co-signer. Someone claiming to "represent" you as your agent, offers you and your resources to stand good for a Third Party. In this case, Federal United States Citizens and their property assets were offered as collateral backing the debts of the United States of America, Inc. by the members of Congress.

This is where the process of registering people as human chattel and issuing bonds for sale based on the estimated worth of their lifetime labor — CUSIP bonds— began. The "title" to the freed slaves was seized and flipped from private ownership to public ownership. They became chattel backing the debts of the "government corporation".

To tidy up this outrage and excuse it as a "private contract" between the victims and the government corporation, the 14th Amendment Public Charitable Trust was established. In exchange for all the money raised by bonds issued against the value of their labor, the "freed" black slaves were enabled to access the "benefits" of the Public Charitable Trust.

This was a deal only bested by the theft of the land from the Native Americans.

The rats claimed that the victims of this fraud "voluntarily" enrolled to receive the "benefits" of the Public Charitable Trust in exchange for "vesting" their assets—their labor, their private property, their intellectual property, everything—for the benefit of the United States of America, Inc., which was named the beneficiary of their estates.

Sound familiar? It should.

The exact same model was employed to entrap white Americans. Pretending that it was a "government mandate" the United States of America, Inc., — which is merely a private, mostly foreign-owned governmental services corporation — NOT the government—-forced hundreds of millions of Americans to "voluntarily" enroll in Social Security, which they presented as an "insurance program" to take care of people in their old age.

Gradually, over time, the perpetrators changed the sales pitch and the verbiage, until in 2012, they started writing the word "benefits" on Social Security Checks and claiming that the recipients are all Federal welfare recipients, benefiting from the Public Charitable Trust......

Are you even dimly beginning to see the criminality that you have been part of and supporting?

The 14th Amendment didn't free or ennoble anyone. It was a subtle vehicle for the exact opposite.

Now that I have educated you about that take a close look at the Thirteenth Amendment of the same corporate "Constitution of the United States of America (Inc.)"

Everyone knows that the 13th Amendment abolished slavery, right?

Look again.

It didn't abolish slavery. It made slavery a punishment for crime.

And it left the "Congress" free to come up with whatever fanciful "crimes" it might conceive. Picking dandelions on a public sidewalk? Life imprisonment, all your labor ceded to benefit the prison facility and the jailors...."