HONOR - THE LACK OF... A conversation with Judge Anna von Reitz

Posted on October 26, 2014

On Oct 11, 2014, at 8:46 PM, Anna von Reitz wrote:

Other countries have a right to run their affairs as they see fit, Arnie. They are not subject to us and we are not subject to them. Though we may violently disagree with the Communistic leanings of the United States of America (Minor) — a legislative Democracy, not a Republic—that we have stupidly allowed to take root on our shores, we have no control over what laws they pass or what burdens are placed on "their" citizens, and their citizens are walking freely among us—most of them indistinguishably so. Their contract with us, The Constitution for the united States of America, has ALWAYS allowed this crazy-making situation—Article I, Section 8, Clause 17.

There are two populations here, Arnie, and there always have been. There are Americans and there are United States citizens. Both groups exist. Both have legitimate "citizenship". Sorting the sheep from the goats is the problem, especially when the sheep don't know the difference.

Every federal civilian employee, every military employee, every black American, everyone here seeking political asylum, and everyone who is receiving welfare "benefits" from the United States, Inc. is considered by the United States of America (Minor) to have voluntarily claimed their citizenship.

Such "federal citizenship" reduces you to the status of an indentured servant, owed only "equal civil rights". Prior to MLK, Jr. they didn't even have those guarantees. Any corporations you form under the auspices of the United States of America (Minor) are automatically reduced to the status of a slave, with no rights whatsoever. They and their assets belong to this foreign nation. That's why American ESTATE trusts are "removed to" and operated out of Puerto Rico. That's why controlling interest in us and our actual estates is presumed to be under the law of the United States of America (Minor), instead of Common Law, the reason that we are all "presumed" have chosen to live in a legislative democracy instead of an independent republic.

Terry is or was in the US Military, so he has been identified as a US Citizen — an indentured servant, his ESTATE trust, a legal fiction dba TERRY is classified as a slave. That's the way they do it and the same way they have done it since 1862.

The proper course for Terry if he doesn't want to be treated as chattel belonging to the United States, Inc. is to claim his birthright status. If he fails to object to being classified as a "US citizen" and declare other citizenship— such as "American"—they retain complete control over him and his assets, including his body, his time, his home, his family.... He CANNOT remain a member of the US Military in any capacity and be treated as anything but a "US citizen" by definition. That is one of the groups that is and has always been classified as "US citizen".

Why am I having to explain this again and again? He is being classified as a "US citizen" and treated as a "US citizen" under the laws of the United States of America, Minor, which is operating in accordance with the rights granted to it under the original Constitution. We have NO CAUSE TO COMPLAIN about how they conduct their business or treat their citizens, until and unless we are adversely affected, misidentifed and imposed upon under the false pretension that we are "US citizens".

Terry can't be a soldier and an American at the SAME time. All soldiers are by definition "US citizens". That is why I told you last night that America has been at peace since 1865 and that no Americans have fought in any war since then as Americans. We are at peace. ALL Americans who have served in the US Military have done so as "US citizens". It's the United States of America (Minor) that is at perpetual war. It is "US citizens" fighting those wars. Apples and Oranges, Arnie.

You are a smart man. You can figure out how this works and what it means. Try. Two separate nations. Two separate citizenships. We have been "presumed" upon to the effect that we are all "United States citizens" when we are not, but the fact remains that some groups within our society have always been "US citizens" and both federal civilian employees and military employees are two of the groups that ARE.

If your friend Terry wants to get out of the brig, he has to sever his ties to his military persona and any idea that he is or wants to be a "US citizen" or a "US CITIZEN" either. He has tried to claim the rights of an American State Citizen at the same time that he clings to his military status and US citizenship and that by definition is impossible because the united States of America have never recognized any form of "dual citizenship" whatsoever.